Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter: Constraints from the Milky Way

Emma Storm

with: D. Gaggero, G. Bertone, F. Calore, R. Connors, M. Lovell, S. Markoff

PRL 118, 241101 (2017) TeVPA 11 August 2017





University of Amsterdam

The Motivation

LIGO Detects Gravitational Waves from Black Holes



PRL 116, 061102 (2016)

Did LIGO detect DM??

PRL 116, 201301 (2016) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 20 MAY 2016

Did LIGO Detect Dark Matter?

Simeon Bird,^{*} Ilias Cholis, Julian B. Muñoz, Yacine Ali-Haïmoud, Marc Kamionkowski, Ely D. Kovetz, Alvise Raccanelli, and Adam G. Riess Department of Physics and Astronomy. Johns Unpkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, USA (Received 4 March 2016; published 19 May 2016)

We consider the possibility that the black-hole (BH) binary detected by LIGO may be a signature of dark mater. Interestingly enough, there remains a window for masses $20M_{\odot} \lesssim M_{bb} \lesssim 100M_{\odot}$ where primordial black holes (PBHs) may constitute the dark matter. If two BHs in a galactic halo pass sufficiently close, they radiate enough energy in gravitational waves to become gravitationally bound. The bound BHs will rapidly spiral invard due to the emission of gravitational radiation and ultimately will merge. Uncertainties in the rate for such events arise from our imprecise knowledge of the phase-space structure of galactic halos on the smallest scales. Still, reasonable estimates span a range that overlaps the 2–35 Gpc⁻³ yr⁻¹ met estimated from GW150914, thus raising the possibility that LIGO has detected PBH dark matter. PBH mergers are likely to be distributed spatially more like dark matter than luminous matter and have neither optical nor neutrino counterparts. They may be distinguished from mergers of DHs from more traditional sarophysical sources through the observed mass spectrum, their high ellipticities, or their stochastic gravitational wave background. Next-generation experiments will be invaluable in performing these tests.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.201301

Vormalized amplitude

PRL 116, 201301 (2016)

Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter



Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter



Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter



If PBHs are DM:

- MW halo contains $10^{11} 30 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ PBHs}$ - 10^9 in the bulge



Can this many objects hide in the Milky Way?

The physics of accreting black holes

What we know about **astrophysical** black holes:

 They accrete gas, launch jets, and radiate X-ray and radio emission



(spectral slope, soft=steep, hard=flat)



- Fundamental Plane for BHs with jets:
 - Mass of BH
 - Radio Luminosity
 - X-ray Luminosity

The physics of accreting black holes

- Assume that **primordial** black holes will accrete gas and radiate emission
- Use FP to predict the radio and X-ray emission from PBHs + compare with observations



PBHs in the Milky Way: the plan



Flow chart inspired by Fig 1 in MNRAS 430:3 (2013)

PBHs in the Milky Way: the plan



PBHs in the Milky Way: Observations

Chandra (0.5-8 keV)

- L > 4e32 erg/s :
- 500 (likely Galactic) sources detected
- All 500 are candidate PBHs
- 2500±50 PBHs
 detectable in ROI
- $\rightarrow f_{DM}$ = 1 excluded at 40 σ



PBHs in the Milky Way: Observations

NuSTAR (10-40 keV)

L > 8e32 erg/s:

- 70 sources detected
- 30 candidate PBHs
- 160±12 PBHs
 detectable in ROI

$$\rightarrow f_{DM}$$
= 1 excluded at 10 σ





PBHs in the Milky Way: Observations

VLA (1.4 GHz)

flux > 1 mJy:

- 170 detected sources
- O candidate PBHs
 (from FP + Chandra)
- 40±6 PBHs detectable in ROI $\rightarrow f_{DM} = 1$ excluded at 6σ



PBHs in the Milky Way: Constraints



PRL 118, 241101 (2017)

PBHs in the Milky Way: Radio Results



PRL 118, 241101 (2017)

PBHs in the Milky Way: Radio Results



PRL 118, 241101 (2017)

PBHs in the Milky Way: SKA predictions



PBHs in the Milky Way: SKA predictions



SKA will detect (or rule out) this population!

Searching for PBHs in Radio + X-ray



Searching for PBHs in Radio + X-ray

Can we hide a population of PBHs in the Milky Way? **NO**





Constraints complement previous bounds, totally different method

SKA will detect (or rule out) this population!

Back up slides

Inefficient Accretion

 $\dot{M} = \lambda \dot{M}_{bondi} = 4\pi \lambda (GM_{bh})^2 \rho (v_{bh}^2 + c_s^2)^{-3/2}$ $L = \eta \dot{M}, \eta = 0.1 \dot{M} / \dot{M}_{crit} \text{ for } \dot{M} < \dot{M}_{crit}; \quad \dot{M}_{crit} = 0.01 \dot{M}_{edd}$ $\rightarrow L \propto \dot{M}^2$ We choose: $\lambda = 0.02 \rightarrow \dot{M} \sim \text{Sgr A}^*$

Velocity Distribution

Gas model in the bulge: Ferrière+2007, A&A, 467:611

Mass model in the bulge: McMillan 2017, MNRAS, 465:76

Assume:

- Isotropic orbits
 - checked against Aquarius simulation
- $v(R) = v_{circ}(R)$
 - checked against phase-space calculation; valid for v<40km/s

PBHs in the Milky Way: SKA predictions

